## SSA

# Overview 

- SSA Representation
- SSA Construction
- Converting out of SSA


## Static Single Assignment

- Each variable has only one reaching definition.
- When two definitions merge, a $\Phi$ function is introduced to with a new definition of the variable.
- First consider SSA for alias free variables.


## Example: CFG



## Example: SSA Form


$=a_{2}+5$

Single reaching definition

## Ф Functions

- A Ф operand represents the reaching definition from the corresponding predecessor.
- The ordering of $\Phi$ operands are important for knowing from which path the definition is coming from.


## SSA Conditions

1. If two nonnull paths $X \rightarrow^{+} Z$ and $Y \rightarrow^{+} Z$ converge at node $Z$, and nodes $X$ and $Y$ contains ( $\mathrm{V}=.$. ), then $\mathrm{V}=\Phi(\mathrm{V}, . ., \mathrm{V})$ has been inserted at $Z$.
2. Each mention of V has been replaced by a mention of $V_{i}$
3. V and the corresponding $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}$ have the same value.

## Overview

- SSA Representation
- SSA Construction
- Step 1: Place $\Phi$ statements
- Step 2: Rename all variables
- Converting out of SSA


## Ф Placement



# Place minimal number of Ф 

functions

## Renaming



## SSA Construction (I)

## Step 1: Place $\Phi$ statements by computing iterated dominance frontier

## CFG

- A control flow graph $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$
- Set V contains distinguished nodes START and END
- every node is reachable from START
- END is reachable from every node in G.
- START has no predecessors
- END has no successors.
- Predecessor, successor, path


## Dominator Relation

- If $X$ appears on every path from START to Y , then X dominates Y .
- Domination is both reflexive and transitive.
- idom(Y): immediate dominator of $Y$
- Dominator Tree
- START is the root
- Any node Y other than START has idom( Y ) as its parent
- Parent, child, ancestor, descendant


## Dominator Tree Example



DT

## Dominator Tree Example



DT

## Dominator Tree Example



## Dominator Tree Example



## Dominator Tree Example



DT

## Dominance Frontier

- Dominance Frontier DF(X) for node X
- Set of nodes $Y$
- $X$ dominates a predecessor of $Y$
- $X$ does not strictly dominate $Y$


## DF Example


$\mathrm{DF}(\mathrm{c})=$ ?
$\mathrm{DF}(\mathrm{a})=$ ?

## DF Example



$\mathrm{DF}(\mathrm{c})=\{\mathrm{d}\}$
$\mathrm{DF}(\mathrm{a})=$ ?

## DF Example



$\mathrm{DF}(\mathrm{c})=\{\mathrm{d}\}$
$\mathrm{DF}(\mathrm{a})=\{\mathrm{END}\}$

## Computing DF

- $\operatorname{DF}(X)$ is the union of the following sets
- $\mathrm{DF}_{\text {local }}(\mathrm{X})$, a set of successor nodes that X doesn't strictly dominate
- E.g. DF ${ }_{\text {local }}(\mathrm{c})=\{\mathrm{d}\}$
- DF ${ }_{\text {up }}(Z)$ for all $Z \in$ Children $(X)$
- DF $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{up}}(Z)=\{Y$ e $\operatorname{DF}(Z) \mid$ idom $(Z)$ doesn't strictly dominate Y\}
- E.g. $X=a, Z=d, Y=E N D$


## Iterated Dominance Frontier

- DF(SET) is the union of $\operatorname{DF}(X)$, where $X \in$ SET.
- Iterated dominance frontier $\mathrm{DF}^{+}(\mathrm{SET})$ is the limit of
- $\mathrm{DF}_{1}=\mathrm{DF}(\mathrm{SET})$ and $\mathrm{DF}_{\mathrm{i}+1}=\mathrm{DF}\left(\mathrm{SET} U \mathrm{DF}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$


## Computing Joins

- J(SET) of join nodes
- Set of all nodes Z
- There are two nonnull CFG paths that start at two distinct nodes in SET and converge at $Z$.
- Iterated join $\mathrm{J}^{+}(\mathrm{SET})$ is the limit of
$-\mathrm{J}_{1}=\mathrm{J}($ SET $)$ and $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{i}+1}=\mathrm{J}\left(\right.$ SET $\left.\cup \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$
- $\mathrm{J}^{+}(\mathrm{SET})=\mathrm{DF}^{+}(\mathrm{SET})$


## Placing $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ Functions

- For each variable V
- Add all nodes with assignments to V to worklist W
- While $X$ in W do
- For each $Y$ in DF(X) do
- If no $\Phi$ added in $Y$ then
- Place ( $\mathrm{V}=\Phi(\mathrm{V}, \ldots, \mathrm{V}))$ at Y
- If Y has not been added before, add Y to W .


## Computational Complexity



- Constructing SSA takes
$\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\text {tot }}{ }^{*}\right.$ avrgDF), where
- $\mathrm{A}_{\text {tot }}$ : total number of assignments
- avrgDF: weighted average DF size
The computational complexity is $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$.
- e.g. nested repeat-until loops


## Ф Placement Example



Place $\Phi$ at Iterative<br>Dominance Frontiers

## SSA Construction (II)

- Step 2: Rename all variables in original program and $\Phi$ functions, using dominator tree and rename stack to keep track of the current names.


## Variable Renaming

- Rename from the START node recursively
- For node X
- For each assignment $(\mathrm{V}=\ldots)$ in X
- Rename any use of V with the TOS of rename stack
- Push the new name $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}$ on rename stack
- $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{i}+1$
- Rename all the $\Phi$ operands through successor edges
- Recursively rename for all child nodes in the dominator tree
- For each assignment ( $\mathrm{V}=\ldots$...) in X
- Pop $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}$ in X from the rename stack


## Renaming Example



# Overview 

- SSA Representation
- SSA Construction
- Converting out of SSA


## Converting Out of SSA

- Mapping all $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}$ to V ?


## Overlapping Live Ranges

- Simply mapping all $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}$ to V may not work


Stanford University


## Converting Out of SSA

- Option 1: coloring
- Compute live ranges, and assign a unique variable name for each live range
- Similar techniques used in register allocation to be covered next week.
- Option 2: simply remove all $\Phi$ functions
- Every optimization in SSA needs to guarantee not to generate overlapping live ranges


## Reference

- "Efficient Computing Static Single Assignment Form and the Control Dependence Graph", R. Cytron, J. Ferrante, B. Rosen, M. Wegman, and F. K. Zadeck, Transactions on Programming Languages and Systems (TOPLAS), Oct 1991. http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/cytron91effici ently.html


## Backup

## Handling Arrays

- Difficult to treat A[i] as a variable

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{i}] \\
\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{j}] & =\mathrm{V} \\
& =\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{k}]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{i}) \\
\mathbf{A} & =\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{A}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{~V}) \\
& =\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{A}, \mathrm{k})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\mathbf{R}\left(\mathbf{A}_{8}, \mathbf{i}_{7}\right) \\
\mathbf{A}_{9}=\mathbf{W}\left(\mathbf{A}_{88} \mathbf{i}_{6} \mathbf{V}_{5}\right) \\
=\mathbf{R}\left(\mathbf{A}_{99}, \mathbf{k}_{4}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

- The entire array can be treated like a scalar.


## Unnecessary Liveness

- W operator may introduce unnecessary liveness for A. Introduce HW (HiddenW).

repeat<br>A $[1]=\mathrm{i}$<br>$\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{i}+1$<br>until $\mathrm{i}>10$

$\mathbf{A}_{1}=\Phi\left(\mathbf{A}_{0}, \mathbf{A}_{2}\right)$
$A_{2}=W\left(A_{1}, i_{2}, i_{2}\right)$
$i_{3}=i_{2}+1$
until $i_{3}>10$
repeat
$\mathrm{i}_{2}=\Phi\left(\mathrm{i}_{1}, \mathrm{i}_{3}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { repeat } \\
& i_{2}=\Phi\left(i_{1}, i_{3}\right) \\
& A_{2}=H W\left(i_{2}, i_{2}\right) \\
& i_{3}=i_{2}+1 \\
& \text { until } i_{3}>10 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

